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Abstract. To define the conceptual vision of the unified development of Georgia, it is necessary to understand it as a systemic process against the background of continuous historical and civilizational progress, which emphasizes the main features of the dynamic picture from time immemorial to the present. These visions have largely led to the development of the Georgian National Security Concept. The geo-economic strategy of the country is an important part of it.

Geo-economics as a separate science emerged in the late twentieth century and studies the interaction between the man and the space. The formation of geoeconomic science is linked to the emergence of global economic problems. A characteristic feature of modernity has been the shift of the center of gravity of the struggle against the government to the economic sphere. Problems that have been solved by force of arms for centuries are finally being solved on the basis of finances and information. Economic wars have largely replaced conventional warfare.

According to the American Scientists, Robert Blackville and Jennifer M. Harris’s three-component definition, geoeconomics is the use of economic tools: to pursue and protect national interests; to achieve positive geopolitical results. Currently, in theory, geopolitical applications have seven economic instruments: trade policy, investment policy, economic and financial sanctions, cyber attacks, economic development assistance, financial and monetary policy, energy and commodity policy. They may be very different from each other, but it still makes sense to discuss them together.

Geo-economics is an interdisciplinary subject. It allows you to study and connect the best of different subjects around one topic. Therefore, the role of geo-economics in the preparation of undergraduate, graduate and doctoral students of economic profile is important. It allows to strengthen the interdisciplinary academic approach which will facilitate the optimal use of geo-economic instruments and the country’s geo-economic potential for the interests of Georgia. The National Security Concept of Georgia, in order to realize and dispute the national interests of Georgia, analyzes the threats, risks and challenges facing Georgia and defines the main directions of Georgia’s security policy. The use of geo-economic instruments plays an important role in the implementation of this policy.
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INTRODUCTION

In the last decade of the last century and in the following years, Georgian writers, historians, political scientists, economists, lawyers, geographers and scientists in other fields have formed interesting visions of a unified geopolitical and geoeconomic conceptual approach to the development of the country. They argued that defining a conceptual vision of Georgia’s unified development requires understanding it as a systemic process in the context of continuous historical and civilizational progress, emphasizing the key features of a dynamic picture from time immemorial to the present. These visions have largely led to the development of the Georgian National Security Concept. The geo-economic strategy of the country is an important part of it. (The following papers are dedicated to this issue: Bedianashvili, 2018; Bedianashvili & Begiashvili, 2021; Gvelesiani & Veshapidze, 2016a, 2016b; Papava, 2015, 2016; Silagadze, 2014; Silagadze & Atanelishvili, 2010, 2011; Silagadze, & Zubiashvili, 2016; Tvalchrelidze, & Silagadze, 2013; Zoidze, 2020; Zoidze, & Tkhilaishvili, 2021.).
Geoэкономика как отдельная наука возникла в конце XIX века и занимается изучением взаимодействия между двумя противоположными сторонами: материальным и духовным. В частности, ее область применения включает: - влияние географических факторов на производство и распределение товаров, - использование свободы в экономике для развития экономической активности. Geoэкономика представляет экономическую реальность в таком виде, что это возможно и должно быть в соответствии с особенностями пространства и методами, которыми необходимо это достичь. Если геополитика рассматривает соседние страны как потенциальные враги, то geoэкономика считает их потенциальными партнерами. Если геополитика работает на международную безопасность, то geoэкономика работает на развитие проблем.

Одним из основных проблем является исследование глобализации и бизнеса. Процесс глобализации связан с формированием геоэкономики на уровне всей планеты. Вторая половина XIX века, по мнению английского экономиста Джона Мейнарда Кейнса, была успешным периодом для развития экономики, так как мир стал единой экономикой. 

**GENERAL ANALYSIS**

The formation of geo-economic science is closely tied to the emergence of global economic problems. Continuous struggles, contradictions, and crises for the existence of society are constant processes accompanying the development of humanity. In order for global problems to arise on its soil, it was necessary, firstly, to increase them to the planetary scale, and secondly, to make them understood by the world community as problems that need to be solved and which can be solved by existing means. (The following papers are dedicated to this issue: Dollfas, 2000; Ismailov & Papava, 2012; Kozak, et al., 2017; Mekvabishvili, 2016, 2018; Movsesian, & Ognivistsev, 2003; Shengelia, 2016; Sintserov, 2000.)

By the end of the 19th century, the world felt like a whole, and the world community began to understand global problems. The world was gradually becoming truly global. From 1850 to 1914, the Earth’s population increased a total of 1.5 times, and world trade turnover - 10 times. The share of foreign trade in the GDP of developed countries doubled.

Along with the export of goods, the export of capital also began to develop rapidly. The volume of foreign direct investment doubled in 1900-1913. Immigration acquired enormous proportions. By 1914, 22% of the Canadian population, 30% of the Argentine population, and more than 26% of the New Zealanders were a new generation of immigrants. US-born workers made up less than half of the country’s entire proletariat.

The transportation system had virtually covered the whole world. Planetary transport unity was impressively portrayed in Jules Verne’s famous novel, 80 Days Around the Earth. By 1900, virtually every region of the earth was connected by telegraph. About 89% of the world’s population lived in countries with convertible currencies. Thus, at a certain technological level, global transport, information and financial technologies already existed at that time.

Londoners could order by phone ... various goods from all over the world ... they could risk their condition (property) if they invested money in natural resources and enterprises anywhere on earth. He was provided with cheap, comfortable and unobstructed travel to any country and natural zone ... but, most importantly, he considered such a situation to be natural, unchanging and constant. The internationalization of public and economic life was absolute (Keynes, 2017). John Maynard Keynes wrote with regret in the 1920s, when the global world fell as a result of the First World War and the political revolution.

Why did globalization, which began about a century ago, suffer such devastating failure? After the war and the revolutions of 1914-1922, the global world disappeared. Countries are separated from each other by strict regimes and customs barriers. The share of foreign trade and capital outflows in the GDP of developed countries declined sharply. The tendency to reduce them seemed so long and universal that it was formed in the form of economic law - the “decreasing importance of international trade.”

Until the 60s, nothing was said about the new wave of globalization. In 1963, the share of international trade in the world economy was one third less than in 1913. Since then the steps of globalization had been increasing. The 70s are characterized by the formation of mega-economy as a single economic organism. Modern globalization is very different from its historical predecessor, and this gives us hope that the global problems that have arisen today can be successfully resolved peacefully.

In the second half of the twentieth century, humanity was able to overcome the habit of resolving world conflicts by military means. It repeatedly stood on the brink of nuclear war, but a sense of self-preservation always prevailed over the thirst for power and rapid victory. Scientists made impressive and indisputable calculations that show that even one, even the first rocket-propelled grenade launcher from either side of the conflict would be quite sufficient for the emergence of a universal “nuclear winter”. No matter where the rockets hit, they create a cloud of dust and soot from burned cities and other objects that will cover the sun for several years, the temperature will drop to minus all over the earth and humanity will practically disappear. The discovery of the most destructive weapons has freed mankind from world wars.

Unlike the globalization of the early twentieth century, the early twenty-first century already has sufficient forces for the peaceful resolution of major global problems. The advent of new individual weapons has greatly complicated states’ fights against insurgents and terrorists. Rebellious countries and terrorist groups were opposed by supranational anti-terrorist organizations.

A characteristic feature of modernity has been the shift of the center of gravity of the realization and protection of national interests to the economic sphere. Problems that had been solved by force of arms for centuries are finally being solved on the basis of finances and information. Economic wars have largely replaced conventional warfare. The power that dominated the world through the use of military force is manifested today in the power of finance, banks and TNCs. It turned out that states that throughout history have waged endless wars to conquer territories and resources to gain strength and power are no longer the only source of elite groups and nations. The same goals can be achieved much more successfully through economic expansion by TNCs. Therefore, perhaps it is only necessary to welcome the fact that the struggle for markets has shifted from a military-political to a tough but at least bloodless economic sphere.
The Senior Researchers at the U.S. Council on Foreign Relations, Robert Blackville and Jennifer M. Harris in their book War by Other Means: Geoeconomics and the Art of Governance, they explore the role of economic and financial instruments in modern diplomacy. They elaborated on the fundamental theoretical and practical issues of geoeconomics.

At the beginning of the book, these authors cite the words of Benjamin Constant, a Franco-Swiss politician, that "war and trade are two different ways of having the same goal, the same desire." They emphasize that the term "geoeconomics" is quite widely used today, but almost always without specification (even without a job definition at least). Some authors focus on the use of geopolitical or military force to achieve economic goals. Others define geoeconomics more broadly as "a combination of international economics, geopolitics, and strategy," or as a kind of all-encompassing phenomenon; it seems that such an explanation seems to disguise the idea more than it explains it. Others pay special attention to trade, commerce, and industrial protectionism.

In view of the above, Robert Blackville and Jennifer M. Harris strongly recommend the following definition of geoeconomics:

Geoeconomics - the use of economic instruments to realize and protect national interests and to achieve positive geopolitical results, as well as the consequences of economic actions of other countries for the geopolitical purposes of that country (Blackwill & Harris, 2016, 60).

Based on this approach, geoeconomics appears as a method of analysis and as a form of government. The first aspect of this three-component definition ("Using Economic Instruments to Pursue and Protect National Interests") is consistent with the traditional notion that domestic economic strength contributes to American influence in the world - at least in theory.

Similarly, the last aspect of the definition of geoeconomics ("the consequences of the economic actions of other countries for the geopolitical purposes of a given country"), historically neglected if compared to other factors in international relations, is gaining increasing attention today. So far, the focus has been on the systemic rather than the nation-state level, in an attempt to explain how large-scale economic events, such as globalization, can affect multifaceted institutions.

Despite several important discussions in the international political economy space, the "applied" issues of force projection (demonstration) and relationship management between nation states are still ignored. In short, despite some positive developments, as American professor Alan Dobson rightly puts it, "Economic issues are still often tangled between political and diplomatic factors."

Former German Chancellor Helmut Schmidt has said that "If you limit yourself to one short sentence ... monetary and credit policy - this is foreign policy ... I still think that today it is much more than before ... it is not just domestic policy... It also determines foreign policy." (Blackwill & Harris, 2016, 47). This is not the first time that geoeconomics has begun to influence world geopolitics, but circumstances have changed dramatically. Compared to previous periods of geoeconomic prosperity - many analysts attribute them to the first years after the war, the Marshall Plan period and the early stages of the Cold War - the world today looks completely different.

Numerous modern geoeconomic tools (say, cyberattacks) simply did not exist at the time of the Marshall. Others, such as energy policy, were used even then, but today's world landscape is fundamentally different from then, and so these tools also acquired new features. The third tools, the same development aid, function in much the same way as in earlier eras. At the same time, they attract new important players and show new dimensions.

Today - at least in theory - there are seven economic instruments for geopolitical use: trade policy, investment policy, economic and financial sanctions, cyber attacks, economic development assistance, financial and monetary policy, energy and commodity policy. Geoeconomics examines each of these instruments separately, again assessing geopolitical rather than purely economic characteristics.

They may be very different from each other, but it still makes sense to consider them together. Moreover, each instrument owns its own set of "practitioner" countries and relevant institutions, specific levers of state control and success factors, as well as a special set of external "marks" - and results for the national interests of the United States.

Geoeconomics is an interdisciplinary subject. It allows you to study and connect the best achievements of different subjects around one topic. The subject of geoeconomics is related to other subjects such as: geopolitics, economics, economic geography, world economy, international economy, international finance, international economics, international economic relations, international economic security, regional economy, political economy and others.

The role of geoeconomics in the preparation of undergraduate, graduate and doctoral students of economic profile is important. It allows to strengthen the interdisciplinary academic approach, which will facilitate the optimal use of geo-economic instruments and the country's geo-economic potential for the interests of Georgia.

The concept of national security of Georgia defines the threats, risks and challenges facing Georgia. According to the concept, the national interests of Georgia are: ensuring sovereignty and territorial integrity; Developing state institutions and strengthening democracy; Developing an effective national security system; Strengthening national unity and civic consent; European and Euro-Atlantic integration; Ensuring stable long-term growth of the economy; Ensuring energy security; Ensuring regional stability; Strengthening Georgia’s transit function; Ensuring ecological security of Georgia and the region; Ensuring civic integration and national and cultural identity; Strengthening cyber security; Ensuring demographic security; Relations with Diasporas. (The following papers are dedicated to this issue: Shengelia, 2015; Silagadze, et al., 2016; Veshapidze & Zubiashvili, 2020; Veshapidze, et al., 2016; Veshapidze, 2020; Veshapidze, & Mchedlishvili, 2020; Veshapidze, et al., 2021; Veshapidze & Zoidze, 2021; Zubiashvili, 2012; Zubiashvili & Veshapidze, 2019; Georgian National Security Concept, 2020).

The National Security Concept of Georgia, in order to re-
analyze and dispute the national interests of Georgia, analyzes the threats, risks and challenges facing Georgia and defines the main directions of Georgia's security policy. The use of geo-economic instruments plays an important role in the implementation of this policy.

CONCLUSION

Analysis of the development history of Georgia in the geo-economic context presents the geo-economic challenges of the country. It is important that Georgia is a maritime state. Georgia, which holds the key to the Caucasus Gate, is the maingate for Western countries to reach the Caspian Sea. The interests of the United States, other strategic and traditional partners, and transnational corporations are important.

Georgia had historically been inhabited by peoples of many nationalities and religions. Consequently, one of the most important directions of the current national policy of the country should be the effective adaptation to the realities of a multinational, multi-confessional state. The solution to this problem involves establishing dialogue between religions, taking into account the national, cultural and religious needs of minorities, and developing a new approach.

After the restoration of state independence, the country’s geopolitical potential increased as a result of Georgia’s strong, strengthened orientation towards Euro-Atlantic values. This is mainly due to the Caspian energy reserves, and their transportation plans. This is a key factor for the country, as well as the fact that Georgia is becoming the center of interests of various world powers. The country will play an important role in pursuing Euro-Atlantic policy in the Caucasus subregion, as well as the interests of traditional partners and other countries in the region.

It is necessary to pay constant attention to economic ties with Russia. Severe relations with Russia significantly hinder the faster development of the Georgian economy. Only with the help of international strategic partners it can be possible to move to a mode of mutual understanding and dialogue between countries. This is important for fulfilling the state tasks of the country.
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